STAT

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

DD/S 71-2322

1 0 JUN 1971

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Director	of	Training

SUBJECT

25X1

25X1

Text

Hugh:

On 5 May 1971 I sent a copy of a Memorandum for the Record of a meeting I had with Ed Proctor. Attached herewith is a 2 June memorandum from with an added buckslip note regarding the Part V question.

I believe your course is now clear -- i.e., remove the present Part V and go on using the book internally and then undertake as you can the updating of the first four Parts. I'm sure you will want to touch base with the DD/I in the process of the revision.

Robert S. Wattles
Assistant Deputy Director
for Support

ditinosilication

Atts

cc: DD/I, w/cy of Atts

ADD/S:RSW/ms (9 June 71)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse, w/cy of Atts (DD/S 71-2253)

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject, w/O of Atts & Background (DD/S 71-1811, 71-1435)

1 - RSW Chrono

Note: Also returned with this memo a copy of the text and the ICAF folum Approved Tob Release 2003/07/1911 DD/A-RDP 1948-90780R 04300060044-B Sanitor of the Company and the ICAF

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

Mr. Wattley

hot knowing wheeler their hughest at their hughest at their hugest at their point, I briefed hu. Coppey on the paretien token by 050.

He asked that their be half by your return.

STAT

TO NAME AND ADDRESS DATE INIT Asst. Deputy Director for Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs. 3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. ASSOCIATE General Counse.	ERNSHL-200599774-ASSTAGATIPN 4-90-7819-80-430 CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SE	TIAL	CONFIDE		UNCLASSIFIED	
Asst. Deputy Director for Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs. Asst. Deputy Director for Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs. Action Direct Reply Prepare Reply Approval Dispatch Recommendation Comment File Return Concurrence Information Signature Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training mannor program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.	OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP	SLIP	AL ROUTING	CIAI	OFFIC	
Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs. Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs.	NAME AND ADDRESS DATE IN	DATE	DDRESS	D ADE	NAME ANI	то
Support, Rm 7D 18, Hqs. 2 3 4 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manuor program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.	Deputy Director for		rector for	Dire	Asst. Deputy	
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.	ort, Rm 7D 18, Hqs.		18, Hqs.	7D	Support, Rm	1
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manual or program and should be permanently excluded If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.						2
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manual or program and should be permanently excluded If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.						3
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manual or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes model. Associate General Counse.						4
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.						5
APPROVAL COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.						6
APPROVAL COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.	DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPL	PRE	DIRECT REPLY		ACTION	
CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.			DISPATCH		APPROVAL	
Remarks: OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manuor program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.	IT FILE RETURN	RET	FILE		COMMENT	
OGC agrees also that Part V would not appropriate for use in an Agency training manu or program and should be permanently exclude If it is excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mode. Associate General Counse.	RENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE	SIG	INFORMATION		CONCURRENCE	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER						Rer
	ate for use in an Agency training man am and should be permanently excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mo	trainin nently becon	also that Pa in an Agency ıld be perma paragraph	se ir houl my j	OGC agree ropriate for us program and s	app
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DA	ate for use in an Agency training man am and should be permanently excluded, my paragraph 4 becomes mo	trainin nently becom	also that Pa in an Agency ild be perma paragraph	se ir houl my j	OGC agreer ropriate for us program and significations is excluded,	app

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDER4-00789R004300060041-3

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions (40)

Approved For Release 2008 07.37 ECIA RDR84-00780R004300060044-3 7/- 2253

OGC 71-0766

2 June 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Director for Support	
SUBJECT : Title to Paper	25X1
1. This is in response to your request and that of Mr. Cunningham for our opinion concerning the ownership of the paper prepared by Mr. during the year he was at the Woodrow Wilson School at Princeton.	
2. There would be three possible owners:	
a. The Woodrow Wilson School. There would seem to be no basis for serious argument that Woodrow Wilson acquired title. The basic concept is that the product of one's mind and work is his own. Nothing in the Princeton catalog outlining the program nor in the Agency papers which selected Mr. for this training would suggest that Woodrow wilson acquired title, or contends that it did. We believe title is not in Woodrow Wilson or in Princeton.	25X1
b. As between and the Agency, a basic principle, as mentioned, is that the intellectual product of one's own effort in ordinary course is his own. A conflicting and equally basic consideration in this case is that wrote the paper while attending, on Agency assignment and on full salary, the Woodrow Wilson Educational Program for Federal Officials. (Various additional expenses were borne by the Agency, including the expense of typing the manuscript.) Thus, his duties as a Government employee were to attend and perform the Woodrow Wilson program, one of the requirements of which was that participants "undertake a thoughtful essay."	25X1
	1. This is in response to your request and that of Mr. Cunningham for our opinion concerning the ownership of the paper prepared by Mr. during the year he was at the Woodrow Wilson School at Princeton. 2. There would be three possible owners: a. The Woodrow Wilson School. There would seem to be no basis for serious argument that Woodrow Wilson acquired title. The basic concept is that the product of one's mind and work is his own. Nothing in the Princeton catalog outlining the program nor in the Agency papers which selected Mr. for this training would suggest that Woodrow wilson acquired title, or contends that it did. We believe title is not in Woodrow Wilson or in Princeton. b. As between and the Agency, a basic principle, as mentioned, is that the intellectual product of one's own effort in ordinary course is his own. A conflicting and equally basic consideration in this case is that woodrow Wilson Educational Program for Federal Officials. (Various additional expenses were borne by the Agency, including the expense of typing the manuscript.) Thus, his duties as a Government employee were to attend and perform the Woodrow

c. Although I think on the above facts alone we	
would have to conclude that title is in the Agency, the	
conclusion is strengthened by the fact that the subject	
matter and content of the paper were very much an	
outgrowth and result of Mr. experience and	25X1
career with the Agency. In these circumstances and	
in the absence of a provision to the contrary in the	
Government Employees Training Act under which the	
training was provided, we hold that title to the work	
rests in the Agency. This view is consistent with	
the recent and leading Rickover case which distinguished	
between intellectual product drawn in part from one's	
government duties and intellectual work produced in the	
course of duty.	
3. It should be noted that the relevant Executive Order (10501) permits a "confidential" classification only in the case of "defense information or material the unauthorized disclosure of which could be prejudicial to the defense interests of the nation."	
r - o j war - o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	
4. If the paper is rewritten, it is suggested that the terms "Congressional Surveillance" and "Executive Surveillance" be replaced with more apt expressions.	
Teptaced with more apt expressions.	
	051/4
	25X1
Associate General Counsel	

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

CONFIDENTIAL

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET GIVE AND
SUBJECT: (Optional)		`		
FROM: Assistant Deputy Directo Rm 7D18, Hqs	r for Sup	pport	EXTENSION	NO.
mii , Džoş Tiqs				1 1 MAY 1971
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and puilding)	, and DATE	OFFICER'S		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Drow a line ocross column ofter each comment.)
^{1.} General Counsel Rm 7D01, Hqs			,	To 1 - Larry -
2.				Reference our conversation on Monday about the text,
3.	11 - managadada ayalin sadama campu sa, ad	•		"Intelligence for National Policy"— attached are a copy of the book, a
4.				copy of a memorandum outlining OTR's proposed action, and a copy of a Memorandum for the Record of
5.				a conversation I had with Ed Proctor. You'll note that Proctor feels the
6.	.3			issue is clear and I'm inclined to agree with him, but we would like your
7.				opinion regarding ownership of the text.
8.				Robert S. Wattles
9.				3 Atts
0,				cc: DTR ADD/S:RSW/ms (11 May 71)
1,				Distribution: Orig RS - Adse, w/Atts (DD/S 71-
2.			and the delical to the region of the second decomposition	71-1811 & 71-1435) 1 - DD/S Chrono 1 - DD/S Subject, w/O of Atts
3.				1 - RSW Chrono
14.		-		
	<u> </u>	e i i i denominare		EROLP 1

INTERNAL

UNCLASSIFIED

OF USE PREVIOUS SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

DD/S 71-1811

5 157 127

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
25X1	SUBJECT: Text	
25X1	1. I met with Ed Proctor and to review the bidding on clearance of the text for use by OTR in ClA courses and related issues. First, it is Proctor's position that, since we were paying	25X ²
25X1	salary while he wrote the book, though he was at Princeton on a Wilson Fellowship, there is no question that we own it. However, he accepts that we should request an opinion from the General Counsel, but by no means should we give credence to claim by asking for a release. Among other things, this might set a bad precedent for other cases.	25X′
	2. As to the use of the text itself, he takes a two-stage approach. First, for the time being, he agrees that we should remove the present Part V and use the book internally. The second stage is that OTR rewrite the text to update it and clean it up where necessary, and that the rewrite be in contemplation of permitting the text to be used in the Intelligence Community for training or other purposes. He argues, however, that, before any rewriting is done on the present Part V for re-inclusion in the revised text, further discussion should be held to determine whether the subjects covered in those two chapters be included in a revised form or whether they be permanently excluded from the text.	
	Robert S. Wattles Assistant Deputy Director for Support	25X ²
	ADD/S:RSW/ms Distribution: Orig - DD/S Subject	

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00785R004300060044-3

1 - DD/S Chrono 1 - General Counsel 1 - Director of Training

1 - RSW Chrono

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET CONTILLING TAL
SUBJECT: (Optional)			·	
FROM: Assistant Deputy Directo	r for Su	pport	EXTENSION	NO.
Rm 7D18, Hqs				DATE MANY 1971
TO: /Office delication and analysis	Office delication		OFFICER'S INITIALS	<u> </u>
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED			COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Drow a line across column ofter each comment.
1 Discourse of Free index	KECEIVED	TORWARDED		m - 1
1. Director of Training Rm 819, 1000 Glebe				To 1 - Hugh -
2.				Trugii
2.				The attached should be self-
- again ann an taonaigh an agus agus agus tagain agus tagain agus an agus ta an the an dhean fha agus agus ta a				explanatory. I'll be back to you
3.	1			when I have heard from the General Counsel.
				-
4.				Ist Bot
5.	•			Robert S. Wattles
•	1			2 Atts
6.				
				ADD/S:RSW/ms (11 May 71)
7.				Distribution:
				Orig RS - Adse, w/cys of Atts
8.				(DD/S 71-1811)
				1 - DD/S Chrono 1 - DD/S Subject
9.				1 - RSW Chrono
10.				
11.				-
•••		:		
10				-
12.				
13.				
14.				
				Grand 7
15.		an Sign	· 4-1	P84-00780R0043000660044-3

COMPINENTIAL

INTERNAL

HNCL ASSIFIED

POSTIONS SECRET

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-ROP84/00780R004300060044-3 3/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780Rd04300060044-3 0 1 APR 19/1

STAT

STATINTL

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3) TR-6199

-71.1435

T (APR 19/1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support
SUBJECT: Reconsideration of Agency Limitations on Text Distribution
1. This memorandum contains recommendations for your approval and action in paragraph 9.
2. The Records Center has asked us to decide whether to retain or destroy the approximately 450 copies of December 1969. If the decision is for retention, the Records Center urges that all the copies be returned to OTR to make more storage room Since the original OTR investment in this publication was considerable in terms of cost and time, it seems an opportune moment to review the present restrictions on use of the volume. SIWA keeps about 200 copies in its vault for use particularly in the Intelligence and World Affairs Course, and about 50 are held by individual instructors and other Schools of OTR.
3. The text was written with the blessing of the then Executive Director, Mr. Kirkpatrick, while an OCI analyst, was on leave from OCI as a Woodrow Wilson Fellow at Princeton in 25X1 After completion permission was requested to offer the study for commercial publication and it was actually cleared for this by the Special Assistant for Public Affairs and by the Office of Security. However, the then DDCI, Admiral Taylor, ruled otherwise. His reasons apparently were that publi-
cation of a study on intelligence by a CIA employee would not be appropriate and would cause public comment detrimental to the Agency, especially if the volume were identified as written by an overt employee. He particularly objected to the final section,
Since the text filled a real gap in the general literature on intelligence production, the Intelligence School of OTR in agreement with

Approved For Release 2003/07/31: CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

- 4. Shortly after it appeared and was in process of dissemination to other intelligence agencies as well as within this Agency, the DDCI ordered all copies recalled and classified Confidential on the grounds mentioned above. Further, the General Counsel ruled that Official Use Only was inadequate to keep the book out of the public domain under the recently passed Freedom of Information Act.
- 5. According to the then DDS, the change from Official Use Only to Confidential required stamping not only the cover of each copy, but the laborious and difficult chore of changing the markings on each page. In addition to this consideration, the process of retrieving all extant copies or of procuring certifications of destruction was so protracted --over a five-month period -- that the Intelligence School simply decided to restrict dissemination to OTR, pending further instructions or guidance on the reclassification procedure. Because of the commotion stirred up by the episode, neither IS nor the then DTR cared to press for further guidance. The 750 copies -- stamped Confidential-Internal Use Only on the cover -- have since remained within OTR except for special releases of a very few on a need to know loan basis; e.g., the Executive Director okayed the use of one copy by the director of the "Need to Know" film.

recently wrote the DDI requesting reconsideration of the classification and distribution caveats to permit use of the volume in a DIS training project. A staffer in the Office of the DDI said request was denied. Apparently it would have involved complete declassification and possibly even permission for commercial publication which, in view of the volume's history, is somewhat beyond what we regard as feasible. Another element in the refusal was a feeling that the volume is already outdated and should be revised before wider dissemination is considered.

7. Looking ahead, we feel that one of SIWA's senior instructors with an intelligence production background should take on an updating of the volume. If our proposals in paragraph 9 below are accepted and the text is declassified, a revision could serve the broader use to which we now put _______ previously published unclassified volume _______ 25X1 ______ in the ICAF series. We now use the ICAF volume (the 1964 revision rather than the original 1959 edition) to augment the kits of unclassified material concerning the Agency which we provide to our students at the senior service schools and in other external training as appropriate. We also recommend it, despite its age, to many people we brief who want an unclassified book on production.

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/07/31: CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

8. Inasmuch as publication of such a revision would require up
to two years, we feel it still useful to place the present
volume in the hands of many who need educating on methods of producing
intelligence for national policy. It is basically sound and the dated
examples are excusable in any such work based on public sources. Our
judgment when we undertook the project in 1967 still stands; it is the
best of its kind on production and almost unique in fully rounding out
the picture of intelligence as more than clandestine operations and
activities.

25X1

25X1

9. I therefore recommend:

- a. That Part V of the text, the section to which Admiral Taylor objected, again be reviewed at the top-level of the Agency and a decision reached as to whether these objections are still valid for the whole section or only for part. For example, the excision of Chapter II, alone, with its references to covert action, might satisfy current considerations of Agency policy while retaining the possibly useful discussion of controls over the Agency discussed in Chapter 12. Whether the decision is to delete one or both chapters, OTR will comply promptly.
- b. After the decision as to what if any part should be deleted, that the General Counsel be requested to rule on the question of Agency ownership of the text, and, if necessary, secure a written release from authorizing the procedures and uses proposed below:
 - i. Excision of all or part of Part V, according to the decision in paragraph 9a; revision and reprinting of the Table of Contents to reflect any deletions.
 - ii. Such use of the text within the Agency and the Intelligence Community as the Agency deems appropriate.
 - iii. Updating of the text, by a competent analyst, for such future use and release as the Agency may consider appropriate.
- c. Upon agreement, that authority be granted or sought to permit OTR:
 - i. to declassify the existing copies, after modification as described in 9a above, simply by removing the Confidential-Internal Use Only labels from the cover;

25X1

2

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004300060044-3

ii. to release the text to training components and libraries of other Intelligence Community members as well as within the Agency.

Any other proposed uses would be submitted to you for approval.

10. Copies of the OTR ______ text and of the ICAF volume are attached.

HUGH T. CUNNINGHAM
Director of Training

25X1

Atts

25X1

- 4 -